

Overall - Question #	Document	Section	Category	Topic	Request for Clarification	Date Received	Response Published Date	KDOT Response
1	RFQ	1.16	3	Form H Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement	Please clarify if Form H should be completed and submitted by the Respondent in the SOQ? In which volume and where should Form H be included, i.e. Volume 1 Administrative and Legal?	5/19/2022		Section 1.16 (Organizational Conflicts) has been revised in Addendum #1.  Form H must be completed and submitted in SOQ, Volume 1 (Administrative/Legal).  Form H has also been revised in Addendum #1 to allow a Respondent to acknowledge a situation where no organizational conflict exists. If no organizational conflict exists, Form H shall be completed accordingly and included in SOQ, Volume 1 (Administrative/Legal).  See Section 1.16 of RFQ. Addendum #1 for further details.
2	RFQ	4.3.2.1	3	SOQ Format – Page Size	Is an 11x17 page permissible for the organization chart?	5/19/2022	6/6/2022	A one-page 11" x 17" organization chart is acceptable. The size of all other pages shall be 8.5" x 11", including the narrative for the organization chart. A margin of no less than one inch shall be provided on all pages (excluding header/footer text). See revisions in Section 4.2 of RFQ, Addendum #1.
3	RFQ	4.3.2.1, 4.3.2.2.	3	SOQ Format - Font Size	What is the minimum font size for the narrative sections, ie. Text size 10 and graphics size 8?	5/19/2022		Minimum font size shall be 11-point; however, 10-point text may be used within graphs or tables. See revisions in Section 4.2 of RFQ, Addendum #1.
4	RFQ	4.3.2.1	3		Page 25 of 65 states "one-page organization chart" Page 38 of 65 states 2.1 Organization Chart as a 2 page limit. Please clarify if the 2.1 organizational chart page limit is one or two pages.	5/19/2022	6/6/2022	For Volume 1 – Administrative/Legal (1.2), one 11" x 17" organizational chart (without narrative) is permitted.  For Volume 2 – Technical (2.1), a copy of the 11" x 17" organization chart is permitted; and Technical (2.2), one 8.5" x 11" page is permitted for the narrative related to the organization chart.  See revisions in RFQ. Addendum #1.
5	RFQ	4.3.2.2	3	Contractor, Lead Designer and Subcontractors identified on FORM F Experience	Page 25 of 65 states "Page limit: Two pages total for the Respondent team."  Page 39 of 65 states "2 pages per Lead Contractor, Lead Designer, and each identified Subcontractor on FORM F"  Please clarify if the Experience of Firms Narrative is limited to two pages total for the entire Respondent team? Or two pages for each Lead Contractor, Lead Designer, and Lead Subcontract identified on Form F.	5/19/2022	6/6/2022	Section 4.3.2.2 and Form A have been revised in RFQ, Addendum #1 to reflect an overall page limit of six pages for firm experience. This includes:  Lead Contractor – maximum of 2 pages Lead Designer – maximum 2 pages Subcontractors – maximum of 2 pages (comprehensive of all Subcontractor firms)  See RFQ, Addendum #1 for further details.
6	RFQ	4.3.2.3	3		RFQ reads: <b>d. Roadway Design Manager:</b> Shall be a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Kansas, or licensed in the State of Kansas not later than 30 Days prior to the Proposal Due Date or such other date as may be specified in the RFP, full-time employee of the Lead Designer and shall have a minimum of 10 years of experience in roadway design on highway projects that included work of a.  The sentence is incomplete. Please clarify what type of roadway/highway projects the Roadway Design Manager should list, for example "work of a similar scope, nature, and complexity as the Project;" or should the sentence end after "highway projects"?	5/19/2022	1	The sentence should end after "highway projects". See revisions in Section 4.3.2.3 of RFQ, Addendum #1.



Overall - Question #	Document	Section	Category	Торіс	Request for Clarification	Date Received	Response Published Date	KDOT Response
7	RFQ	4.3.2.3	3	Key Personnel	Page 20: The qualifications for d. Roadway Design Manager, and e. Structures Design Manager state that the individual be "a direct, full-time employee of the Lead Designer". We wish to confirm that this requirement is truly intended for these two positions as it may result in un-intended restrictions to the most qualified Key Personnel for some Proposers.  Would KDOT consider removing the requirement for the d. Roadway Design Manager, and e. Structures Design Manager of being an	5/19/2022	6/6/2022	The requirement for these roles to be a direct, full-time employee of the Lead Designer has been removed in Section 4.3.2.3 of RFQ, Addendum #1.
8	RFQ	1.16	3	Request for Clarification	employee of the Lead Designer?  If our team has no organizational conflict of interest, should we still submit a Form H? If so, which Volume should it go in?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Yes. See response to Question #1 and Section 1.16 of RFQ, Addendum #1 for more details.
9	RFQ	4.3.2.3	3	Request for Clarification	The description for Roadway Design Manager on Page 20 doesn't seem to finish the sentence. Is there more to the description of qualifications?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	See response to Question #6.
10	RFQ	5.1	2	Scoring	On Page 22, it states that "Experience with progressive design-build delivery will be weighted more favorably than other forms of Alternative Delivery." Why is Progressive Design-Build scored higher than other alternative delivery methods when it was stated in the industry call and our one-on-one meeting that it would not be scored higher?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Progressive Design-Build experience is not required. However, KDOT will consider Respondent's experience and knowledge in Alternative Delivery, including progressive design-build, in determining the Short-listed Proposers. See Section 5.1 of RFQ, Addendum #1 for more information.
11	RFQ	4.3.2.1	3	Request for Clarification	On page 19, and then on Form A it says we are to provide a one-page organizational chart in volume 1. But then it says on Form A, for volume 2, it says provide a 2-page organizational chart that's a copy of the volume 1 chart. Please explain the difference.	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	See response to Question #4.
12	RFQ	4.3.3	2	Financials	Can joint venture partners send their last audited financials under separate covers for privacy purposes?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Yes. However, the SOQ submission must comply with Section 4.2.
13	RFQ	4.3.3	3		Does the Surety Letter only need to be filled out by the contractor?  Is a letter required from our bonding company in addition to filling out Form G?  Do we submit a letter and/or Form G for each party of a JV's respective sureties, so we have two Form G's and/or two letters? The letters can say they support the JV.	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Per RFQ Section 4.3.3, the Respondent is required to provide the Surety Letter using the form provided in Form G. Form G shall be signed by the surety. A letter separate from Form G is not required.  Refer to Footnote 1 in Form G that states "If the entity to obtain the bonds is a joint venture, partnership, limited liability company or other association, separate letters for one or more of the members of the Respondent team are acceptable using this Form G." Accordingly, Form G submissions are required for each member, partner, etc. with respect to which the Surety is certifying bonding capacity.  See revisions to Section 4.3.3 of RFQ. Addendum #1.
14	RFQ	1.12	3	Request for Clarification	May respondents submit unlimited questions?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Yes. RFQ, Section 1.12 does not limit the number of questions.
15	RFQ	4.3	3	Forms	May respondents recreate the provided forms for the responses?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	No. The forms provided in MS Word format on the Project website shall be used: https://ikewebstorage.blob.core.windows.net/eastkellogg/files/Kellogg_RFQ_Forms.zip
16	RFQ	4.3.1	3	Forms	In which volume should we submit Form G (COI)?	5/24/2022	6/6/2022	Presuming the question is referencing Form H (relating to organizational conflicts of interest), see response to Question #1.



Overall - Question #	Document	Section	Category	Торіс	Request for Clarification	Date Received	Response Published Date	KDOT Response
17	RFQ	Overall	3	Addendum/Forms	With each addendum, the footer on every page of the RFQ and thereby SOQ changes.  Is it permissible to include forms with the April 28, 2022 footer or June 6, 2022 Addendum 1 footer in the final SOQ submittal, so long as the content requirements on the forms do not change?  For example, the requirements on Form Ds and Form E have not changed, are the original April 28, 2022 Forms permissible?	6/10/2022	6/24/2022	All forms submitted shall be reflective of the content, including footers, of the most recent addendum at the time of form submittal.
18	RFQ	4.3.2.2 Experience of the Firms	3	summary of the background and	Addendum 1 states: Six pages, including two pages maximum for the Lead Contractor two pages maximum for the Lead Designer, and two pages maximum for all Subcontractors identified for the Respondent team on FORM F.  Would DOT consider adjusting the page breakdown to: Six pages total for the Lead Contractor, the Lead Designer, and all Subcontractors identified for the Respondent team on FORM F. We believe by having the option to write a cohesive Narrative, this will better demonstrate the past partnership and collaboration requested in Section 4.3.2.2 Part 1 as well as describe the entire proposed Team dynamic of the Respondent while also avoiding redundancy of content which would arise by speaking to the same shared experiences in multiple firm sections.	1	6/24/2022	No change will be made.
19	RFQ	4.2 Form of Submission	3	Page Margins	Addendum 1 states: All pages of the SOQ (with the exception of the organization chart described in Section 4.3.2.1(Organization) shall be 8.5" x 11", with a margin of no less than one inch provided on all pages (excluding header/footer text).  Some of the forms provided by KDOT (D-2A/B Resume and D-3A/B Reference forms) have margins less than one inch. Is it permissible to leave those margins in the locations as provided by KDOT?		6/24/2022	Yes. The margins on the KDOT-provided forms can be maintained at the current location/dimension.